19 November 2012

This record relates to Agenda Item 30

RECORD OF ADULT CARE & HEALTH COMMITTEE DECISION

SUBJECT:

DAY ACTIVITIES COMMISSIONING PLAN

AUTHOR:

ANNE RICHARDSON-LOCKE

THE DECISION

- (1) That the proposed Vision for day activities set out in Section 7 in the report be approved.
- (2) That the next steps set out in Section 8, as amended, be agreed, that is to work with service users, advocates, carers and providers in the co-design and modelling of services to realise the Vision for day activities. (Note: The amendments to Section 8 are as follows: Paragraph 8.4 to be moved to become paragraph 3.11, Relevant Background Information. Paragraph 8.5 to be moved to become paragraph 5.4.15, Feedback and Developments)

REASON FOR THE DECISION

- (1) The report recommends that day activity provision in the city is developed to enhance services so that they are flexible enough to meet the needs of current service users and future users and are, where feasible, accessible via a personal or managed budget and that opportunities to pool money to purchase services is enabled. The report recommends that information be accessible on available activities to enable service users and their carers to have choice and control of how their needs are met.
- (2) The report recommends that work with service users, advocates, carers and providers is undertaken in order to co-design and model services to realise the Vision for day activities. This will ensure that services provided meet the needs of service users and carers.

DETAILS OF ANY ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

(1) The alternative option is to not develop a commissioning plan and make no changes to day services. The impact of this would be that day services remain the same and service users do not benefit from more flexible, personalised services.

Proper Officer:

Date: <publish date>

Mark Wall, Head of Democratic Services

Signed: CALL-IN FOR SCRUTINY Note: This decision will come into force at the expiry of 5 working days from the date of the meeting at which the decision was taken subject to: (i) any requirement for earlier implementation of the decision or, (ii) the decision being called in for review by 5 Members from two or more Groups represented on the Council.